











time to give to the Board three days in advance or B) the documents could have been prepared and distributed but someone purposely chose not to. The alternatives the Board could have and should have chosen were: A) If the documents were not ready three days before the meeting, then defer the item until another meeting – as outlined in BB 9322(c). Or B) if they were prepared on time, then include them in the Board Packet and make them available to the public before the meeting began. Instead, someone chose neither of these options and decided to withhold the documents until the very last moment – even though the documents clearly could have (and should have) been passed out earlier.

Note: Mr. Wilson did not deny that someone decided: "...what is good for the people to know and what is not good for the people to know." I also want to point out here that this incident not only infringed upon the general public's right to know but it also infringed on the right of each Board member to know - in order to be prepared for the meeting. Each Board member has the right to receive documents three days in advance of a regular meeting. This was especially imperative in the case of the Grand Jury Draft response. It was obvious that some Board members were completely aware of the contents of the draft. In fact, three of them were supposed to participate in drafting it. And yet other Board members were not allowed to see it until moments before they were asked to approve it. In fact, due to a clerical error, the public got to see one page in advance of some Board members.