











But the Board MUST place it on the agenda AS REQUESTED; they MUST allow related public comment; they MUST accept associated written documents; and all of this, plus the Board's actions or inactions, MUST be made a part of the public record. It is not satisfactory for the Board to reword the requested item without the consent of the applicant. Likewise it is not satisfactory to use shenanigans to subvert the intent of the applicant. It is important that any action taken by the Board should be clearly either for or against the proposed items – alternative proposals should be brought up on their own merits under an appropriate agenda item with their own descriptions. It would be good manners and a show of good faith with the public to make this right more publicly known. And in the case that it is determined that an item proposed by an individual is not appropriate for an agenda, the District should communicate with the applicant (in writing) with an explanation. I believe this to be the correct analysis, and I still believe that my rights and the rights of others have been violated. If any Board members or Mr. Wilson think that I did not fulfill all of the requirements of the Ed. Code and the Board Bylaws in each of the three separate applications that I [previously] submitted to the Board, I would welcome a written explanation. (Two of my three [previous] applications are included as Attachments 38 and 45.)